Multi-block integration of four LC-HRMS metabolomic datasets to improve screening of growth promoter administration in production animals

PC2

Chloé Cloteau^a, Marine Letertre^b, Julien Boccard^c, Bruno LeBizec^a, Gaud Dervilly^a





^a Oniris, INRAE, LABERCA UMR1329, 44037 Nantes, France

^b Nantes Université, CNRS, CEISAM UMR 6230, F-44000 Nantes, France

^c School of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Western Switzerland, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

INTRODUCTION

To ensure the safety of food products of animal origin, screening for the administration of prohibited growth promoters in production animals is a priority for public authorities. In

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



this regard, metabolomics is a preferred strategy for the discovery of new effect biomarkers. Numerous studies have demonstrated the potential of these approaches as proof of concept, but they only addressed a single class of compounds and had limited metabolome coverage. Thus, the present study aimed to establish a comprehensive classification model for urine samples from cattle treated with five different growth promoters, based on a more exhaustive evaluation of the metabolome.

EXPERIMENTAL



• Exp. Boldenone undecylenate

observed (as indicated by grouped QC samples), specific phenotypes for each experiment were highlighted despite PQN normalization and could masking the effects of growth promoter administration. To address the significant interindividual variability and standardize the data experiment, T0 each normalization was performed using samples administration. After normalization, the data appeared homogeneous across different individuals.

2. Classification model and multiblock integration



Block	HILIC_NEG	HILIC_POS	RPLC_NEG	RPLC_POS	Multiblock
ariable number	252	260	447	1134	2093
R2Y	0.507	0.535	0.565	0.682	0.678
Q2Y	0.448	0.429	0.565	0.619	0.662
R2X	0	0	0	0	0



Control Treated

component component

Following metabolomics analyses, four datasets were obtained: RPLC_POS, RPLC_NEG, HILIC_POS, HILIC_NEG. Multivariate analyses highlighted differences in the metabolome between control samples and those collected after administration of anabolic agents regardless of the compound. Furthermore, considering the model performance (Q2Y), multi-block integration improved the classification and prediction of samples compared to individual models. In this regard, multi-block analyses also demonstrated that all four datasets were important in predicting treated samples.

3. Biomarkers discovery



Combining both univariate and multivariate analysis annotation allowed compound potential with biomarkers Interestingly, discovery. known compounds discovered in previous metabolomics studies on common experiments also appeared significantly different when including a wide variety of anabolic agents. This is notably the case for creatine (Dervilly et al. 2018) and guanidinobutyric acid, a metabolite derived from the arginine and proline metabolic pathway (Stojilkovic et al., 2019). Metabolite annotation also demonstrated the complementarity of the four datasets, with only two metabolites in common.



Boccard, J., & Rutledge, D. N. (2013). A consensus orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) strategy for multiblock Omics data fusion. Analytica chimica acta, 769, 30-39.

Schymanski, E. L., Jeon, J., Gulde, R., Fenner, K., Ruff, M., Singer, H. P., & Hollender, J. (2014). Identifying small molecules via high resolution mass spectrometry: communicating confidence.

Dervilly-Pinel, G., Royer, A. L., Bozzetta, E., Pezzolato, M., Herpin, L., Prevost, S., & Le Bizec, B. (2018). When LC-HRMS metabolomics gets ISO17025 accredited and ready for official controls-application to the screening of forbidden compounds in livestock. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 35(10), 1948-1958.

Stojiljkovic, N., Leroux, F., Bubanj, S., Popot, M. A., Paris, A., Tabet, J. C., & Junot, C. (2019). Tracking main environmental factors masking a minor steroidal doping effect using metabolomic analysis of horse urine by liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry. European Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 25(3), 339-353.



Level 5: m/z

Level 4: Molecular formula (adduct, isotope) Level 3: Candidate based on in silico analysis (SIRIUS) Level 2: MS and MS/MS match with spectral database Level 1: Standard comparison

(Schymansky *et al.* 2014)

In conclusion, the combination of (1) six different experiments and (2) four LC-HRMS analyses, never reported previously to our knowledge, illustrates the complementarity of LC-HRMS analyses in terms of metabolic coverage. Their multi-block integration enabled the implementation of a comprehensive classification model capable of predicting the administration of growth promoters.

LABERCA, Oniris, INRAE - Nantes, FRANCE +33 (0)2 40 68 78 80 - laberca@oniris-nantes.fr www.laberca.org

Poster présenté aux 16èmes Journées Scientifiques du RFMF Saint-Malo, France | 3 – 6 juin 2024